

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/750,152	12/29/2000	Hidefumi Ohsawa	35.C15035	6535
5514	7590 08/16/2004		EXAM	INER
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			DASTOURI, MEHRDAD	
30 ROCKEFE	LLER PLAZA			
NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2623	

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Application No. OHSAWA ET AL.			
## Examiner Methods Dastouri 2623 ## Forciot for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. **Details on the new year be available under the positions of 37 CFR 1.106(a). In re-event, however, may a reply be firmely filled the previous of the new year be available under the positions of 37 CFR 1.106(a). In re-event, however, may a reply be firmely filled the previous of the new year and the positions of 37 CFR 1.106(a). In re-event, however, may a reply be firmely filled the previous of the new year and the positions of the firm period form year and year the season will apply and will egoly and will ego		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Mehrdad Dastouri 2623		09/750,152	OHSAWA ET AL.
Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CRF. 1.136(a). In no overt, however, may a raply be timely filled where SX (b) MCNTTS from the maining date of this communication of 3 CRF. 1.136(a). In no overt, however, may a raply be timely filled where SX (b) MCNTTS from the maining date of this communication. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CRF. 1.136(a). In no overt, however, may a raply be timely filled where SX (b) MCNTTS from the maining date of this communication. If you have not been supported to the communication of the state of the support of the communication of the state of the support of	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Internation of historing the availables under the positions of 3 TCFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Internation of historing the availables under the positions of 3 TCFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Internation of historing the availables under the positions of 3 TCFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Internation of historing the position of the property of the contribution of the c			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(b). In one event, however, may a raply be timely filled after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for mphy specialisation was less than the 190 did you. In the consistent strings, 11 the period for reply within the statutory minimum at this; (30) day, will be coasistent strings. Failure to reply welfilm the set or extended period for reply will by statutio, cause the application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Official extra the nime maining date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any statute and patients them adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 26 May 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quaylo, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) Mone of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum	·	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 May 2004. 2a ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Paper No(e)/Mail Date.	Status		
4)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
4)	Disposition of Claims		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	awn from consideration.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. On Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Drity documents have been receive Bau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. On Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Information Patent Application (PTO-152)	_	4) Thetaniau Cumman	, (PTO_413)
	 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 24, 2004 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

- 2. Applicants' amendment filed May 26, 2004, has been entered and made of record.
- 3. Objection to Claim 12 has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment.
- 4. Applicants' arguments regarding Claims 1-12 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue in essence that prior art of record (Shackleton et al) do not disclose the feature of generating initial contour information for extracting an object existing in image data, in accordance with an output of a detection unit/step (detecting a change between successive image data) and a color of the image data.

The Examiner disagrees and indicates that Shackleton et al clearly disclose the feature of generating initial and successive contours by *detecting a change between* successive image data (Column 13, Lines 26-49. A difference picture is created by subtracting each object from its predecessor using video processor 5 and framestore

Art Unit: 2623

4.). Applicants' further argument regarding extracting an object in accordance with a color of the image data is most with regards to the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 7, 8 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shackleton et al (U.S. 5,719,951) in view of Covell et al (U.S. 6,188,776).

Regarding Claim 1, Shackleton et al disclose an image processing apparatus, comprising:

an input unit that inputs successive image data (Figure 2; Column 13, Lines 24-34);

a detection unit that detects a change between the successive image data (Column 11, Lines 34-67, Column 12, Lines 1-9; Figures 2 and 3; Column 13, Lines 34-67, Column 14, Lines 1-3);

a generation unit that generates initial contour information for extracting an object existing in the image data in accordance with an output of said detection unit (Figures 2 and 3; Column 13, Lines 40-49); and

Art Unit: 2623

an extraction unit that extracts object image data corresponding to the object on the basis of the initial contour information generated by said generation unit (Abstract; Figures 2 and 3; Column 13, Lines 50-67, Column 14, Lines 1-18).

Shackleton et al further disclose extracting the object by generating an N-dimensional feature vector based on the extracted attributes of the image (Column 2, Lines 35-52). Shackleton et al do not explicitly disclose extracting an object in accordance with a color of the image data.

Covell et al, in the same field of endeavor of recognizing the object in video images (Face Recognition), disclose a feature-based object recognition utilizing color, saturation or hue of an image as one of the attributes of the feature vector generated for extracting process (Column 7, Lines 19-40).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Shackleton et al's invention in accordance with the teachings of Covell et al to extract an object in accordance with a color of the image data because it will provide more accurate image segmentation and will improve the accuracy and reliability of the object extraction and recognition.

Regarding Claim 2, Shackleton et al further disclose an image processing apparatus according to Claim 1, further comprising a coding unit that encodes the object image data extracted by said extraction unit (Column 1, Lines 33-39; Column 2, Lines 47-52).

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding Claim 3, Shackleton et al further disclose an image processing apparatus according to Claim 2, further comprising a transmission unit that transmits the image data encoded by said coding unit (Column 2, Lines 47-52).

Regarding claim 7, Shackleton et al disclose area-division unit that performs area division based on motion of image data (Column 4, Lines 45-65, Snake technique).

Shackleton et al do not explicitly disclose area-division unit that performs area division based on color.

Covell et al disclose a video image analysis system comprising a first areadivision unit that performs area division based on color (Column 3, Lines 4-63), and a second area-division unit that performs area division based on motion of image data (Column 2, Lines 38-59), and generates the initial contour information in accordance with outputs from said first and second area-division units (Figures 5-12; Column 21, Lines 15-54; Column 22, Lines 50-67, Column 23, Lines 1-38).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Shackleton al invention according to the teachings of Covell et al to implement further limitations of Claim 7 because it will increase the accuracy of the system and will provide enhanced contour generation and object extraction.

Regarding Claim 8, Shackleton et al further disclose an image processing apparatus according to Claim 7, further comprising a display unit that displays image data input by said input unit, wherein said display unit can display an extraction result of

Art Unit: 2623

said extraction unit so as to visually check the extraction result (Column 14, Lines 18-25).

Regarding Claim 10, a recording unit that records image data encoded by said coding unit on a recording medium is inherently incorporated in all coding systems.

With regards to Claims 11 and 12, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 1 are applicable to Claims 11 and 12.

5. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shackleton et al (U.S. 5,719,951) further in view of Covell et al (U.S. 6,188,776) and Drummond et al (Real-Time tracking of Complex Structures with On-Line Camera Calibration).

Regarding Claim 4, Shackleton et al further disclose an image processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the image data input by said input unit include data picked up by a video camera (Figure 2; Column 13, Lines 24-49).

Shackleton et al and Covell et al do not specifically disclose the input unit inputs parameter data concerning a camera parameter of the video camera, and said detection unit detects a change between the successive image data based on the parameter data.

Drummond et al disclose a three-dimensional model-based tracking system comprising an input unit that inputs parameter data concerning a camera parameter of the video camera, and the detection unit that detects a change between the successive image data based on the parameter data (Abstract; Section 1, Introduction; Section 4, On-Line Camera Calibration).

Art Unit: 2623

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Shackleton et al and Covell et al combination according to the teachings of Drummond et al to input parameter data concerning a camera parameter of the video camera, and having the detection unit that detects a change between the successive image data based on the parameter data because it will increase the accuracy of the system and will provide enhanced contour generation and object extraction.

Regarding Claim 5, Drmmond et al further disclose an image processing apparatus according to Claim 4, wherein the detection unit performs different detection processing in accordance with the parameter data (Abstract; Section 1, Introduction; Section 4, On-Line Camera Calibration).

Regarding Claim 6, Drmmond et al further disclose an image processing apparatus according to claim 4, wherein said input unit includes the video camera (Section 1, Introduction).

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shackleton et al (U.S. 5,719,951) further in view of Covell et al (U.S. 6,188,776) and Vetro et al (6,266,443).

Shackleton et al and Covell et al do not explicitly disclose the coding technique utilized for coding the video image.

Vetro et al disclose an object boundary detection utilizing video coding standard MPEG-4 (ISO/IEC 14496) (Column 1, Lines 16-29).

Art Unit: 2623

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Shackleton al invention according to the teachings of Vetro et al to utilize video coding standard MPEG-4 (ISO/IEC 14496) because it is a well known methodology routinely implemented in video coding systems.

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mehrdad Dastouri whose telephone number is (703) 305-2438. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on (703) 308-6604. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mehrdad Dastouri Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 2623 August 10, 2004

MEHRDAD DASTOURI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mehrdad Dastain

Page 8